

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUIT NO. 937 OF 1981

Dr. Narayan Kisanlal Malpani & Ors.

...Plaintiffs

Vs.

M/s. Jamshedji Rustomji Devidas &
Jani & Merchants

...Defendants

Mr. R.R. Nugda for Plaintiffs

M.S. Doctor i/b. Mr. Piyush Raheja i/b. Federal & Rashmikanth for Defendants

CORAM: V.M. KANADE J.

DATED 17TH SEPTEMBER, 2008

P.C.

1. In this case, the Commissioner is already appointed for recording the evidence. The learned Counsel for the Defendants wants to file compilation of additional documents. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiffs has no objection if the documents No.1 to 15 and 17 to 18 are taken on record. He however, submits that so far as document at serial No.16, which is an article published in Time of India, he has an objection for production of the said document. Therefore, all the documents, except document at serial No.16 are taken on record. The Commissioner to proceed and submit a

report as expeditiously as possible. It is needless to state that the Defendants is at liberty to prove the said documents in accordance with law. Liberty is granted to the Defendants to prove the documents after the report is submitted by the Commissioner.

V.M. KANADE J.